Realization of High-Accuracy Orbit Control of a High-Intensity Proton Beam — Development of a New Pulsed Power Supply for Eliminating Current Ripple —



5-1

Fig.5-5 (a) Trapezoidal waveform of a pulsed power supply and (b) current waveform-formation methods using pulsed power supplies of different circuit configurations (blue: chopper-switching system, red: capacitor-commutation system)

(a) The trapezoidal waveform for exciting an electromagnet with a pulsed power supply is indicated. Ideally the waveform should be flat and without ripples during the beam-injection period to fix a beam orbit.

(b) The current ripple is caused by continuous switching in the chopper-switching system. However, the capacitor-commutation system eliminates this ripple during beam injection as the number of switch operations is limited.

Located at the Japan Proton Accelerator Research Complex (J-PARC), the 3 GeV Rapid Cycling Synchrotron (RCS) accelerates injected protons up to 3 GeV with a repletion rate of 25 Hz and is intended to output a 1-MW beam power. The injection-pulsed magnets of the RCS control the injection-beam orbit using the flat tops of the trapezoidal waveform (Fig.5-5(a)) and merge the many protons into a circulating beam.

The original pulsed power supply adopted a chopperswitching system for the main circuit, which formed the trapezoidal waveform by continuous ON/OFF-switching operation of the semiconductor switch (Fig.5-5(b): left). Thus, an arbitrary waveform change was possible and various injection parameters were produced in an acceleration-beam test. However, the continuous current ripple caused by the switching operation caused beam fluctuation (Fig.5-6(c): blue) and beam loss occurring owing to beam instability was observed during beam power ramp-up (Fig.5-6(d): blue).

We paid attention to ensure that the output current of the trapezoidal waveform of the capacitor-commutation system switched only three times per period. The power supply adopting this system is suitable for eliminating current ripple



Fig.5-6 (c) Beam-orbit displacements and (d) beam-loss signals with a beam intensity of 550 kW (blue: chopper-switching system, red: capacitor-commutation system)

(c) Fluctuation of the beam orbit was at most 5 mm during a beam-injection period with the chopper system. However, in the case of the capacitor-commutation system, the displacement of the beam orbit became approximately zero and beam fluctuation did not occur.

(d) Suppression of the beam loss by the capacitor-commutation system is indicated. A fluctuation in the beam-injection period resulted in beam instability in the course of the acceleration.

(Fig.5-5(b): right) during the beam-injection period. However, this current-waveform-formation technique is limited by the capacitance value and thus, the design of a control system is a problem that must be solved for RCS beam commissioning. In particular, distortion occurs in a flattop owing to an eddy current effect when the rise time of the waveform is changed.

To decide the basic capacitance value of the capacitorcommutation system, we constructed an equivalent circuit model by understanding the characteristic of the load exactly and analyzed it using circuit simulation. In addition, we devised a new correction circuit capable of controlling the waveform and realized high flattop flatness. Furthermore, in order to reliably reduce current ripple, we worked to reduce highfrequency noise due to the current route of the main circuit.

According to our results, various injection parameters could be produced. Thus, high-accuracy trajectory control without fluctuation has been realized (Fig.5-6(c): red) and a significant reduction of beam loss has been demonstrated (Fig.5-6(d): red). Consequently, there is a prospect of realizing stable user operation with a 1-MW high-intensity proton beam.

Reference

Takayanagi, T. et al., Comparison of the Pulsed Power Supply Systems using the PFN Switching Capacitor Method and the IGBT Chopping Method for the J-PARC 3-GeV RCS Injection System, IEEE Transactions on Applied Superconductivity, vol.24, no.3, 2014, p.3800905-1-3800905-5.